

Semiconducting carbon nanotubes as three-terminal devices

J. Appenzeller

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

In the last couple of years an enormous progress has been made in the field of carbon nanotube (CN) electronics. Particularly impressive are the improvements of carbon nanotube field-effect transistors (CNFETs) [1–3] since their first implementation in 1998 [4,5]. A key aspect has proven to be a deepened understanding of the device physics in these novel nano-devices. Since the actual contact area that creates source and drain in a CNFET is the interface between a three-dimensional (3D) metal and the one-dimensional (1D) semiconducting carbon nanotube, current injection into the nanotube channel is substantially different from a conventional metal oxide semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) [6]. The main difference is that in the case of a MOSFET current injection into the gate controlled area occurs from a doped part of the same semiconductor that forms also the channel while it is the injection from a metal in case of the CNFET. In general, the metal/semiconducting carbon nanotube transition region is characterized by the formation of a Schottky barrier (SB) of arbitrary height [7,8]. Interestingly, even if this barrier would be zero, the SB-CNFET behaves different from a MOSFET, i.e. tunneling from the source electrode still limits the current through the device [9,10]. It has been also found that the shape of this barrier is impacted by the size of the semiconductor. The smaller the semiconducting object, the thinner the Schottky barriers in a gated three-terminal device [6]. At the same time it has been experimentally verified that carbon nanotubes transistors operate in the ballistic regime even at room-temperature provided that their channel length does not exceed a couple of hundred nanometers [11–13]. Taking all these observations into account models have been developed to describe the performance of CNFETs and to make projections of the potential of nanotube transistors for future nanoelectronic applications [14,6,15–17].

The current situation in the field and the work I will present is described by the exploration of novel device structures [18] inspired by recent simulations; It is aimed at a further improved understanding of the switching mechanism in semiconducting nano-devices [10,19] and the characterization of the high frequency performance of nano-transistors [20,21].

Most importantly, it is clear that the answers to the questions currently explored for carbon nanotubes will be of central interest not only for a carbon nanotube based electronics but for nano-applications in general.

-
- [1] A. Bachtold, P. Hadley, T. Nakanishi, and C. Dekker, *Science* **294**, 1317 (2001).
 - [2] A. Javey, H. Kim, M. Brink, Q. Wang, A. Ural, J. Guo, P. McIntyre, P. McEuen, M. Lundstrom, and H. Dai, *Nature Materials* **1**, 241 (2002).
 - [3] S. Wind, J. Appenzeller, R. Martel, V. Derycke, and Ph. Avouris, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **80**, 3817 (2002).
 - [4] S.J. Tans A. Verschuere, and C. Dekker, *Nature* **393**, 49 (1998).
 - [5] R. Martel T. Schmidt, H.R. Shea, T. Hertel, and Ph. Avouris, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73**, 2447 (1998).
 - [6] J. Appenzeller, J. Knoch, R. Martel, V. Derycke, S. Wind, and Ph. Avouris, *IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology* **1**, 184 (2002).
 - [7] S. Heinze, J. Tersoff, R. Martel, V. Derycke, J. Appenzeller, and Ph. Avouris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 106801 (2002).
 - [8] J. Appenzeller, J. Knoch, V. Derycke, R. Martel, S. Wind, and Ph. Avouris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 126801 (2002).
 - [9] J. Guo and M.S. Lundstrom, *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices* **49**, 1897 (2002).
 - [10] J. Appenzeller, M. Radosavljevic, J. Knoch, and Ph. Avouris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 048301 (2004).
 - [11] M. Fuhrer, H. Park, and P.L. McEuen, *IEEE Trans. on Nanotech.* **1**, 78 (2002).
 - [12] A. Javey, J. Guo, Q. Wang, M. Lundstrom, and H. Dai, *Nature* **424**, 654 (2003).
 - [13] S. Wind, J. Appenzeller, Ph. Avouris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 058301 (2003).
 - [14] J. Knoch and J. Appenzeller, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 3082 (2002).
 - [15] D.L. John, L.C. Castro, J.P. Clifford, and D.L. Pulfrey, *IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology* **2**, 175 (2003).
 - [16] S. Heinze, J. Tersoff, and Ph. Avouris, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 5038 (2003).
 - [17] J. Guo, S. Datta, and M. Lundstrom, *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices* **51**, 172 (2004).
 - [18] Y.-M. Lin, J. Appenzeller, and Ph. Avouris, *Nano Letters in press*.
 - [19] J. Appenzeller, J. Knoch, M. Radosavljevic, and Ph. Avouris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* in press.
 - [20] D.J. Frank and J. Appenzeller, *IEEE Electron Device Letters* **25**, 34 (2004).
 - [21] J. Appenzeller and D.J. Frank, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **84**, 1771 (2004).